

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/666,888 | 09/17/2003 | Xin Xue | SONY-26400 | 9089 | |
| Jonathan O. Ov | 7590 06/25/201 Vene | EXAMINER | | | |
| HAVERSTOC | K & OWENS LLP | BLAIR, DOUGLAS B | | | |
| 162 North Wol Sunnyvale, CA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Juling Ture, C.1 | . 5 1000 | | 2442 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/25/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/666,888 | | XUE ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | DOUGLAS B. BLAIR | 2442 | | |

| | DOUGLAS B. BLAIR | 2442 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i | | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | ED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.156(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a valued of the control of the co | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancell non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | cplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 29-51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | /Douglas B Blair/ | nit 2442 | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | TIIL 2442 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the same reasoning presented in the 5/5/2010 Final Rejection.